

---

**From:** Marc Crecelius </o=first organization/ou=first administrative group/cn=recipients/cn=mcrecelius>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 06, 2013 2:33 PM  
**To:** Tammy Parker; Alicia Ehlers  
**Subject:** RE: T. G. ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIAL

I removed the other individuals from this email and am just sending to the two of you.

I spoke with [REDACTED], TG 's attorney to try to clear up some of what is going on. As far as paperwork goes everyone is clear what his charge is, [REDACTED], what it was amended from, [REDACTED] and that that's what the court papers say. The issue, according to [REDACTED], is that [REDACTED] is under the impression that Tammy told someone in other state TG is an "adjudicated sex offender". He isn't. I understand that oth very well may treat him as an adjudicated sex offender, they may even label him and adjudicated sex offender, and may be more than justified doing so based on the fact that he was ordered to complete sex offender treatment and will likely have to do aftercare. But let's let oth do that labeling. IF (and I capitalize IF because I won't assume anything I hear from any of these people is true) IDJC told oth that TG is an "adjudicated sex offender" I would ask Alicia to speak with othe and clear that up. He is adjudicated delinquent, committed to state custody, and undergoing court ordered sex offender treatment. But just because he looks like a duck, sounds like a duck, and smells like a duck, that doesn't make him an adjudicated sex offender. Any paperwork should be fine as is. If there is a box or something that needs to be checked that says "requires sex offender aftercare" or something to that effect, check it. But if there is a box that simply states "adjudicated sex offender" or even "sex offender" please consult with me before labeling TG as such. He is not, in a legal sense, a sex offender.

So Alicia could you please contact othe and clear up anything that may need to be cleared up with regard to this.

Please do not forward any part of this email. If you would like to pass any of this along to anyone else involved, let me know and I will send something more appropriate. After I hear back from Alicia, I intend to send an email that can be shared with all the interested parties letting them all know that the issue is resolved.

Thank you both,

Marc

---

**From:** Tammy Parker  
**Sent:** Friday, September 06, 2013 8:35 AM  
**To:** Alicia Ehlers; Marc Crecelius  
**Cc:** [norenaunlimited@yahoo.com](mailto:norenaunlimited@yahoo.com); 'Mark Suckling'; Ellen Shewmake; [jamie.Coffey@sequeltsi.com](mailto:jamie.Coffey@sequeltsi.com)  
**Subject:** RE: T. G.

Alicia,

Thank you for this information. I believe probation has uploaded their documents. The court documents are a matter of record and not even the judge can change them. However, I suppose TG 's parents can contact his defense attorney and request a hearing to address their concerns with the judge regarding the language about sexual offenses, but we cannot alter court documents. I believe our DAGs have advised us to follow standard protocols for Interstate paperwork unless the judge orders otherwise.

LEDFORDSSD469322

Thanks Alicia.

Tammy Parker, LSW  
Juvenile Services Coordinator  
Idaho Department of Juvenile Corrections  
954 W. Jefferson St.  
Boise, Idaho 83702  
208.577.5438  
208.334.5120 (fax)

---

**From:** Alicia Ehlers  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 05, 2013 11:02 AM  
**To:** Tammy Parker  
**Subject:** T. G.

Tammy,

Yesterday, I received a phone call from TG 's guardian, [REDACTED] Mr. [REDACTED] called to clarify whether I had received the information needed to transfer TG 's packet to North Carolina. I let him know that I had one of the documents in the system but was waiting for the County to upload the remaining documents. Mr. [REDACTED] had concern that the document might be the 60-day report because it was in error and needed to be corrected before being sent. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that the Adjudicated Offense stated amended from [REDACTED] which was not accurate. Tammy, the 60 day report is not part of the packet, but there are other documents required by law that would indicate to the other state that the juvenile had offended sexually. These are court documents, and I don't have the ability to change them or to leave them out of the packet. Please let me know how to proceed. Thank you.